Westinghouse is building a remarkable craft, a free-roaming explorer of the undersea. Unlike the famous bathysphere, which dangled at the end of cables, Deepstar has no strings attached. She will rise, dive, turn and back at will, self-propelled and independent. Her 3-man crew will be able to set instruments, sample the bottom at depths of two miles, salvage, photograph, and most of all, explore the vast oceanic world that has hidden itself so long from man.

Captain Jacques-Yves Cousteau, the undersea pioneer who created the basic concept, is collaborating with Westinghouse on the vehicle. Deepstar will be equipped with the controls, instruments, mechanical “hands” and everything else needed for the deep swim. You can be sure... if it’s Westinghouse.
At Sylvania Electronic Systems you can achieve both these objectives. To begin with, diverse programs give you a chance to enter practically any field in electronics: space-earth communications; electronic reconnaissance, detection, countermeasures; information handling; and complex systems for military command and control.

Here you can move about within the community of 20 interrelated research and advanced development laboratories located in a number of different locations including suburban Boston, Buffalo and San Francisco. Or you may prefer SES's Product Support Organization at sites throughout the world. Furthermore, three parallel advancement paths provide ample opportunity to further your career as a technical manager, technical specialist, or program/project manager—with equal rewards.

This major electronics division of Sylvania Electric Products Inc. coordinates for defense all technical personnel and facilities for General Telephone & Electronics Corporation.

For the talented young engineer or scientist eager to progress, career opportunities are now open. For further information see your college placement officer or write to Mr. Robert T. Morton.

**SYLVANIA ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS**

Government Systems Management

for **GENERAL TELEPHONE & ELECTRONICS**

40 Sylvan Road—Waltham 54, Massachusetts

An Equal Opportunity Employer
HOW WELL DO YOU KNOW SANDIA?

- Sandia is a prime contractor of the Atomic Energy Commission with laboratories in Albuquerque, New Mexico, and Livermore, California.
- Sandia is engaged in research and development on ordnance phases of nuclear weapon design.
- Sandia scientists and engineers are doing related work in fields such as solid state physics, plasma physics, materials research, explosives technology, pulse phenomena, radiation damage, systems and component design, and test and development.
- Our $140 million laboratory offers the latest in scientific equipment.

- Sandia as a subsidiary of the Bell System draws upon the technical and industrial know-how of Western Electric, the Bell Telephone Laboratories, and other organizations within the System.
Albuquerque, New Mexico, a cultural center of 250,000, is sunny and dry with year-round recreational opportunities. The University of New Mexico is located here.

Livermore, California, offers the unlimited advantages of living in the San Francisco Bay area.
If you are graduating with outstanding scholastic achievement in engineering or the physical sciences, the Sandia Corporation would like to arrange an interview.

The Sandia representative will be on campus Feb. 10, 11.
On Our Cover
Dr. Albert Tyler, professor of biology, and research fellow Richard Huemer, M.D., are collecting eggs and sperm from sea urchins for the studies on fertilization and development that are described in the article on page 17 of this issue.

On pages 18 and 19 are some really remarkable photographs taken, as part of these same studies, with the electron microscope at Caltech. These pictures show the very first steps in the fertilization of an animal egg.

Albert Tyler, who directs this research at Caltech, was graduated from Columbia University in 1927 and received his MS there in 1928. When the great geneticist Thomas Hunt Morgan came from Columbia to organize a biology department at Caltech, Tyler came along to continue his graduate studies here. He received his PhD in 1929 and has been on the Caltech staff ever since.

Dr. Tyler is a leader in the attempts to use the concepts of immunochemistry on biological problems not usually associated with this field. He has done outstanding work on the energetics of differentiation and on the mechanism of the fertilization reaction.

Private Higher Education
The subject of federal support of higher education is highly controversial. Caltech's President DuBridge tackles this subject, as well as other financial problems of the private segment of higher education, on page 11.

Illustrations:
Cover, 26 – James McClanahan, Graphic Arts Facilities
18, 19 – Albert Tyler, Ian Price
22-24 – Bob Jeffrey, Graphic Arts Facilities
Like everyone else who must meet the expanding challenges of science and industry today, IBM banks heavily on initiative. By initiative, we mean the desire to forge ahead. We believe this kind of initiative benefits not only a corporation and its customers, but the individual as well.

For IBM, the exercise of initiative results in an expanding line of products ranging from electric typewriters to complete computer systems. It is evident, too, in the advanced systems for space programs and national defense which IBM also produces.

For our customers, it brings new methods, new efficiencies, and new directions for expansion in step with the growing economy of our nation.

For the individual, encouragement of initiative means the fullest possible growth of personal talent, ability, and stature. In the course of building their professional careers, IBM's engineers and scientists are given all the responsibility they are ready for. In fact, IBM encourages each individual to tackle progressively tougher problems by providing the stimulating associates, professional atmosphere, and educational opportunities that form a sound basis for career growth. There are no barriers to individual achievement at IBM.

For example, the story of Oliver W. Johnson, the young engineer pictured here, demonstrates how an individual can apply his full range of talents and interests at IBM to further his professional career. Since he first joined IBM, he has been assigned to several different project areas, including: special
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transistor circuits, cryogenic development, experimental studies on voice output from computers. He is now being recognized for his success in developing a new method of compressing speech by which time might be saved in voice transmission of data.

His colleagues in development, research, and manufacturing are making the same kind of individual progress, for at IBM the accent is on initiative—no matter what type of work, or what field of interests. Broad education programs, among the finest to be found in industry, enable each individual to study in his field of specialization or range beyond it as he desires. These educational programs are designed for the individual's personal satisfaction as well as professional advancement.

IBM offers graduates with degrees in Engineering, Mathematics, and the Sciences exciting assignments with room to move ahead. IBM is an Equal Opportunity Employer.

Education programs are conducted along the following lines: initial training; continuous education to stimulate development and help prepare for advancement; master's and doctoral study with company support.

See your college placement officer to determine when we will be interviewing on campus. We will be glad to discuss typical openings and opportunities at IBM. If you prefer, you may write, outlining your education and interests, to: Manager of College Relations, Dept. 915, IBM Corporation, 590 Madison Avenue, New York 22, N. Y.
THE GENERAL PHYSIOLOGY OF CELL SPECIALIZATION

McGraw-Hill ................. $13.50

Proceedings of a symposium of the Society of General Physiologists held at Oregon State University in 1962 concerned with the structural and functional specialization of cells. Edited by Daniel Mazia, professor of zoology at the University of California at Berkeley; and Albert Tyler, Caltech professor of biology.

MEN OF SPACE, Vols. 1-5

Chilton ............... $4.95 each

A continuing series of profiles of leading figures in the fields of space research, development, and exploration. Shirley Thomas, the author, brings out a new volume about every six months, usually manages to include one or more Caltech men in the eight to ten biographies she runs in each book.

Of the five volumes of Men of Space that are now in print:

Volume One includes Theodore von Kármán. In Volume Two are Thomas F. Dixon, MS '45, chief designer of engines for the Atlas, Thor, Jupiter, Redstone, and Saturn rockets; William H. Pickering, '32, MS '33, PhD '36, director of Caltech's Jet Propulsion Laboratory; and Simon Ramo, PhD '36, leader of the scientific advisory team for Atlas, Thor, Titan, and Minuteman. Louis G. Dunn, '36, MS '37, PhD '40, who directed the scientific research and development of these missiles, is featured in Volume Three.

Volume Four includes John R. Pierce, '33, MS '34, PhD '36, executive director of Research-Communications Principles for the Bell Telephone Laboratories, and the man most responsible for Project Echo; and William Shockley, '32, who shared the Nobel Prize in physics in 1956 for his invention of the transistor.

In Volume Five are Albert R. Hibbs, '45, PhD '53, chief of the Arms Control Study Group at JPL; and Charles H. Townes, PhD '39, who conceived the idea of the maser.

METHODS IN IMMUNOLOGY

W. A. Benjamin, Inc. ................ $8.75

A laboratory text for instruction and research in general immunology by Dan H. Campbell, professor of immunochemistry; Justine S. Garvey, senior research fellow in chemistry; and Natalie E. Cremer and Dieter H. Sussdorf, former fellows of the National Institutes of Health at Caltech.

MINING FRONTIERS OF THE FAR WEST, 1848-1880

Holt, Rinehart and Winston ............... $6

Rodman W. Paul, professor of history, is the author of this first volume in a new series on the history of the American Frontier. The book tells the story of Western mining and its "importance as a molding force in the evolution of the American social order," with special emphasis on the technical problems and engineering techniques of Western mining.

HIMALAYAN BATTLEGROUND

Sino-Indian Rivalry in Ladakh

Frederick A. Praeger .......... $6

Robert A. Huttenback, assistant professor of history at Caltech, is co-author—with Margaret W. Fisher and Leo E. Rose of the University of California—of this study of the Ladakh area that is of such vital importance in the conflict between China and India.

NEW BOOKS FROM McGRAW-HILL

THE GENERAL PHYSIOLOGY OF CELL SPECIALIZATION

Edited by DANIEL MAZIA, University of California, Berkeley; and ALBERT TYLER, California Institute of Technology. McGraw-Hill Publications in the Biological Sciences, 434 pages, $13.50.

Distinguished contributors outline modern methods of exploration involved in basic biological problems and concepts of current interest. Based on a symposium held in 1962 by the Society of General Physiologists. Emphasis is on the nature of cell specialization.

INTRODUCTION TO RADIOLOGICAL HEALTH

By HANSON BLATT, Director, Office of Radiation Control, The New York City Department of Health, 384 pages, $12.75.

This new text is intended for use by workers in the fields of engineering, science, medicine and public health who must assume the responsibility of radiological health. Among the important areas covered: sources and characteristics of radiation, interaction of radiation with matter, biological effects, maximum permissible doses, and concentrations, detection and measurement.

BRAINS, MACHINES, AND MATHEMATICS


Introduces the reader to the common ground of "brains, machines and mathematics" where mathematics is used to exploit analogies between the workings of brains and the control-computation-communication aspects of machines. Designed for readers interested in such topics as cybernetics, information theory, Goddell's theorem.

INERTIAL NAVIGATION, ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

Edited by CHARLES F. O'DONNELL, Computers and Data Systems Division, Autonetics, 496 pages, $18.50.

Prepared by members of a leading firm in the field, this new text and reference book covers the basic classes of design problems and gives a balanced presentation of both systems and component analysis and basic hardware design.

GROUP THEORY AND QUANTUM MECHANICS


This new volume presents the elements of group theory most pertinent to physical applications and provides a brief but systematic analysis of the quantum mechanics of atoms, molecules, and solids, emphasizing the use of group theoretical techniques.

THE MACHINERY OF THE BRAIN

By DEAN E. WOOLDRIDGE, California Institute of Technology, 300 pages, $5.95 (Cloth), $2.95 (McGraw-Hill Paperbacks).

A nonmathematical and nontechnical account of the exciting and interesting work being done in the field of brain research. Where appropriate, analogies are drawn between the biological subject matter and related computer principles.

ASTRONAUTICAL GUIDANCE

By RICHARD H. BATTIN, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Electronic Science Series, 384 pages, $15.00.

Provides the research scientist and senior graduate student with background for understanding the problems and requirements of self-contained navigation and guidance systems. Although mathematical aspects are emphasized, the treatment has been tempered by astronautical engineering realities.

Reserve your copies on approval now

McGRAW-HILL BOOK COMPANY

330 West 42nd Street/New York, N.Y., 10036
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How to tell a career from a job

A job is a job. A career is a place to grow. A career has a future. A job lives from day to day. In a job you get what you can, do what you must. In a career, rewards parallel your contributions.

We're a career company. More than a third of our 90,000 employees have been with us at least 15 years; 10,000 for more than 25 years. There are reasons for this. To assure growth we invest over $90 million a year in research. Fifty percent of last year's sales ($2.4 billion) came from products unheard of just 28 years ago. Because customers like these products, we've grown 750% since 1937.

Our career men share in this growth because we fill virtually all responsible positions from within. Our young men work in several areas to develop their capabilities. This way they can change positions without leaving the company.

There are job men and career men. If you seek a career, we'd like to tell you about an interesting and rewarding one at Du Pont. Write us a letter or clip and mail our coupon today.

E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. (Inc.)
2519-A Nemours Building
Wilmington, Delaware 19898

When I'm graduated, I'll be a (list profession). Please rush me more information about how I might fit in at Du Pont.

Name
Class Major Degree expected
College
My address
City Zone State
A BETTER CAREER OPPORTUNITY FOR YOU AT FMC

RESEARCH and DEVELOPMENT: FMC offers challenging opportunities for technical personnel to engage in work in areas of electronics, automated controls, hydraulics, plastics, radiation, fabrication techniques and industrial design, as well as immediate and long-range projects in organic chemicals, including polymers and plastics, fibers and films, and inorganic chemicals.

MACHINERY: Automated machinery and allied equipment for food preparation and processing, farming and gardening, materials handling, packaging and paper box making, paper and film converting, industrial, agricultural and household pumping requirements, waste disposal, oil field operations, fire fighting, and automotive servicing.

DEFENSE: Armored and unarmored military tracked and wheeled vehicles, hydrofoil vehicles, amphibious landing craft, nuclear handling equipment, remote control systems, electric drives, gunfire simulators, propellant handling systems, missile ground support systems and equipment, and missile and rocket propellants.

CHEMICAL: Insecticides, fungicides, and herbicides for agriculture; concentrates for grain fumigants and household pesticides; basic inorganic chemicals for industry; and organic chemicals, intermediates, plasticizers and plastics for industrial and specialty applications.

FIBERS AND FILMS: Rayon filament and staple fiber, and acetate yarns for textile manufacturers and specialty products; tire yarns; cord strapping, cellophane, cellulose bands and casings for packaging; plastic molding powders; and microcrystalline cellulose for food, drug and cosmetic industries.

Putting Ideas to Work in Machinery, Chemicals, Defense, Fibers and Films

Corporate Personnel Administration
P. O. Box 760
San Jose, California

FMC CORPORATION
An Equal Opportunity Employer
He's an independent merchant ... a General Motors dealer. He heads a local business team which supplies the person-to-person contacts, the service and good will which earn and build public respect. He is one of 15,000 General Motors dealers located all across the country, who employ about 300,000 local people.

Service in the broad sense is his business.

He's a reputable businessman with a vital interest in his community. He sells more than mere transportation and is proud of the personal association he maintains with his customers. Of course, his reputation and that of General Motors are mutually dependent. His success and that of General Motors go hand in hand.

More than six hundred thousand General Motors employes plan and produce the fine cars and trucks which he sells. More than a million shareholders and some 31,000 suppliers complete the GM team. Collectively, they represent GM's proudest asset—its people!

**GENERAL MOTORS IS PEOPLE ...**

*Making Better Things For You*
This 600 horsepower turboprop engine is designed to power the new generation of light, fixed wing aircraft for both civil and military applications. • The Garrett-AiResearch TPE-331 has a specific fuel consumption of .62 pound per shaft horsepower-hour, and a weight to power ratio of .45 pound per horsepower. The engine has a response rate from flight idle to full power of approximately 1/3 of a second. A military version has been designated the T76 by the U.S. Navy. • Designed specifically as a prime power plant, the model 331 is backed by the company’s experience in producing over 10,000 gas turbine engines. • The Model 331 engine is programmed for additional performance growth. The turboshaft version (TSE-331) has been flight tested as a power plant in rotary wing and vertical lift vehicles.

For further information about many interesting project areas and career opportunities at The Garrett Corporation, write to Mr. G. D. Bradley at 9851 S. Sepulveda Blvd., Los Angeles. Garrett is an equal opportunity employer.
Private Higher Education

by Lee A. DuBridge

Higher education in the United States began as a private enterprise. Between the founding of Harvard in 1636 and the American Revolution in 1776, scores of private colleges were established in the American colonies to provide educational opportunity for the youth of the new nation. Most of these colleges still exist, and many of them are now among the leading colleges and universities in the world.

The first state institution, the University of North Carolina, was established in 1789, and a great period of development of state institutions began with the passage of the Morrill Act in 1862. Even so, all through the 19th century there was a spectacular growth also in the number of private colleges and universities, and even frontier communities in the Middle Western and Western states founded their colleges almost before the communities were well established.

In recent years state colleges and universities have grown so rapidly that today, in the nation as a whole, some 62 percent of the college and university students are enrolled in institutions under public control. In the state of California this figure is about 80 percent.

As the number of college students increases, not only in California but throughout the country, it is likely that publicly controlled institutions will grow in size and numbers more rapidly than the privately controlled ones — and this possibly has led many to conclude that the days of the privately controlled institution may be numbered.

Those connected with private educational institutions, however, vigorously reject this idea. While no one questions the magnificent achievements of the American system of public higher education, it is still true that our privately controlled colleges and universities form the backbone of higher education in this country. The leadership which has been shown by such world-famous institutions as Harvard, Yale, Princeton, MIT, Chicago, Johns Hopkins, Stanford, and (if you will pardon me) Caltech — to say nothing of the hundreds of liberal arts colleges — has established a pattern and a pace for higher education which has been profoundly significant throughout the history of this country, and will remain essential for the generations to come. The relative importance and influence of private institutions is not to be measured solely in terms of student enrollment.

The essential feature of any private institution is, of course, that it is wholly independent of any
The problem which faces not only the private institutions themselves but the entire national community which they serve is how such institutions can remain both independent and strong.

governmental agency – local, state, or federal. Though normally chartered by the state and subject to such public laws as are applicable, a private institution selects its own board of trustees and its own officers, and these are not responsible to any government bureau.

This means that each institution can evolve its own educational policies, adapted to fit its own objectives and ideals; it can change these policies from time to time as is deemed appropriate; and it can select both students and faculty in such numbers and to meet such qualitative standards as the institution itself may determine.

As a result of this freedom and independence, great and forward-looking advances in higher education in this country have stemmed from the independent institutions. They have pioneered and set the pace for all higher education.

How an institution can remain independent

It goes without saying that the reason an independent institution can remain independent is that its endowments, its physical plant, and its operating funds are provided largely or wholly from nongovernmental sources. Private sources, even though they often give funds for designated purposes, do not normally seek to control the policies of the recipient institutions, nor impinge upon their independence. Also, the fact that such private funds usually come from many different sources (individuals, foundations, corporations) insures that no single individual or agency external to the university shall become controlling in the determination of the educational program.

A state university, by its very nature, is responsible to the state government — and, through executive, legislative, and budgetary controls, it has the power to determine institutional programs. Although most states have wisely kept their colleges and universities free from direct political influences, the institutions nevertheless are a part of the state governmental system and they cannot, and should not, forget their responsibilities to the voters and taxpayers. Our country would be much poorer if we did not have institutions with such responsibilities.

In short, both the private and public educational institutions of the country form essential parts of an integrated whole, and both components of our system of higher education deserve the continued and active support of the entire nation. These things being true, the problem which faces not only the private institutions themselves but the entire national community which they serve is how such institutions can remain both independent and strong. And this question revolves about the question of how such institutions can continue to maintain and expand their private financial support.

There have been many gloomy predictions based on the fact that, since federal tax policies now serve as barriers to building up large personal fortunes, the sources of support of private institutions will surely decline. Such predictions are not borne out by the facts.

Support of private institutions

In the first place, in spite of government tax policies, private fortunes are still being built — though not, of course, in such numbers or to such great extent as if the high-income tax rates were reduced. In any case, private gifts and bequests to private institutions have not declined but have indeed continually increased.

At least 43 private colleges and universities have in the past nine years reported campaigns to secure private funds, ranging in amounts up to over 100 million dollars and aggregating at least 1.7
The success of these campaigns surely indicates that the support of private institutions, far from withering away, is becoming stronger. The problem evidently is not that wealth does not exist, but that it is so difficult to persuade many owners to make substantial gifts for educational purposes. Colleges and universities have never been able to sit back and expect that voluntary gifts would roll in. They are secured only through energetic, continuous, and persuasive appeals.

On the other hand, the fact that such appeals have yielded large returns to many private institutions should not cause one to take too optimistic a view. While the number of dollars flowing into private institutions is greater today than ever before, these must be measured in terms of the needs and opportunities of such institutions. Measured in such terms, the funds being secured are still woefully inadequate.

The rising costs of running an institution

The costs of academic buildings have risen sharply in the last 25 years, as have also the costs for teaching and research equipment, for salaries and wages, and for all the other items that enter into the costs of running an educational institution. Many institutions are forced to restrict their enrollments because of inadequate finances. Others fail to attract their full quota of students because they have been unable to improve their facilities and staff for lack of adequate resources. Others that have adopted restricted enrollment policies for academic reasons still suffer from lack of space, equipment, and staff to continue and to improve their programs.

In spite of public impressions to the contrary, there are really no “wealthy” colleges or universities in America. Some institutions have been able to support more extensive and more expensive programs than others, but no institution has adequate funds fully to meet its goals or to fulfill its opportunities.

The problem of fund raising will thus be an ever-present and ever-urgent one as long as private institutions exist.

Fortunately, the potential bases of support for private education have expanded. Though very large gifts by individuals (e.g., one million dollars or more) are still relatively rare, there are many more individuals in the country who are making smaller gifts, and often making them on a regular basis. There are more individuals able and willing to give more than ever before and the full potential of individual giving has not yet been fully tapped.

The large private foundations have always been an important source of support for higher education. The most famous ones (such as Ford, Carnegie, Rockefeller) continue as essential elements in educational support. However, their combined resources and income, even though large in dollars, are small in proportion to the total need. Fortunately, hundreds of smaller private foundations have been created, and many of these are devoting funds to higher education. In 1962 private foundations gave educational grants of over 300 million dollars.

A new source of private support

Finally, a new source of private support has arisen and has become rapidly more important in recent years — namely, the business or industrial corporation. It was only 25 years ago that corporate grants to colleges and universities were almost unknown and were considered by many to be an improper use of stockholders’ money. As it has become more and more evident that business and industrial corporations in America could not survive and prosper without the contribution of the nation’s colleges and universities in providing educated men and women and in contributing to the advance of knowledge, corporations and their stockholders have acquired the conviction that the support of higher education is essential to their own welfare. Court decisions have supported this point of view. In the year 1962 corporate contributions to higher education amounted to $200,000,000, of which approximately 75 percent, or $150,000,000, was contributed to private institutions. (Caltech alone received over one million dollars in corporate gifts for operating funds in 1962-63.) This annual giving has risen rapidly in recent years and will surely rise still higher in the years ahead.

In short, sources of private funds for the support of higher education are by no means drying up, but are indeed expanding. It is not yet clear whether they will expand rapidly enough to meet the growing needs and increasing costs, and it is certain that many, if not most, private institutions will have to exert strenuous efforts in order to secure the funds they require. Not all will succeed; not all will even survive. This is a characteristic of the private enterprise system.

We come now to a new feature of the support of private higher education which we have so far ignored — namely, the contributions made by the
Federal Government. This is an enormously complex subject, and the radically conflicting views which have been expressed have surely surrounded the subject with a substantial degree of confusion. There are those who have said that the activities of the Federal Government are on the verge of stamping out the independence of the private college or university. At the other extreme, there are those who assert that such institutions will be saved and their independence preserved only through the provision of federal funds. It has been both asserted and denied that there is gross waste, extravagance, and mismanagement of taxpayers' money. Some assert that federal funds have degraded the quality of higher education and others, equally vociferously, assert that quality has been vastly improved.

In evaluating the conflicting statements made on the subject, it should be recalled that it is a general rule that sweeping generalizations about any aspect of American education are never universally true in all cases and for all institutions. The American educational system is too complex and varied to be susceptible to all-inclusive assertions. Conversely, almost any statement made about education, good or bad, is probably true of some institutions or in some circumstances. One should also remember that in the field of government spending, whenever large sums are involved, there will always be charges of waste and extravagance. Whether there is proof of substantial waste or not, there will be some who will assert that in any big program waste must exist.

Putting aside sweeping and unprovable generalizations, let us look at the facts.

The facts about government support

Since 1946 there has undeniably been a rapidly rising participation on the part of the Federal Government in certain activities carried on by colleges and universities, both public and private. The great contributions made by university-operated research and development centers during World War II proved to the Government and to the people that the universities had much to contribute to national welfare and security. The Federal Government has, therefore, in effect decided through a variety of both executive and legislative decisions that the Government itself shall use the colleges and universities as instruments for the advancement of the public welfare and security by supporting in such institutions those particular activities which pertain to recognized areas of federal responsibility.

This policy of selective support of those activities related to federal responsibilities contains the key to the present situation. The Federal Government does not support higher education per se. It does not give across-the-board subsidies to colleges and universities to carry on their normal operations. In fact, there are large segments of higher education which the Federal Government specifically excludes from its support program on the ground that they are not at the present time areas of recognized federal responsibility.

Government responsibility

What, then, are the areas of recognized federal responsibility? Some of them are obvious: agriculture, public health, national defense, atomic energy, space exploration, technical assistance to underdeveloped countries. Because all of these areas depend critically upon the advance of scientific knowledge and its application, the Government's activities in the support of higher education have been almost wholly devoted to the support of the basic sciences and of medicine, agriculture, and engineering. No government funds are available for university work in the fields of the humanities (other than foreign languages). An extremely limited amount of support is available in any of the social sciences, and almost no federal support is given to the regular tasks of undergraduate education other than certain limited funds available for the purchase of scientific laboratory equipment. Graduate education and research in pure and applied science is the major area of federal participation in university activities.

Whether one likes this policy of the Federal Government or not, one must agree that it is at least a consistent policy. When the Federal Government's own activities stimulate a need for basic knowledge in scientific areas, the Government supports those activities in universities which aim to advance these fields of knowledge. When the Government's activities produce a large increase in the need for highly educated professional personnel (such as scientists, engineers, physicians) the Government, through assistance to universities and to individual students, has sought to expand the numbers being educated.

It will no doubt be true in the future, as it has been in the past, that the areas of federal responsibilities may broaden into new fields. And, when this happens, the Government's interest in university activities may be expected correspondingly to broaden.

Nevertheless, the basic fact must be kept in
mind that the Government is not in the business of supporting higher education, but is only in the business of supporting those activities of colleges and universities which enable the Government itself to carry out more effectively its assigned functions.

Now graduate education and research in pure and applied science constitutes an important segment of higher education. This segment has in recent years been provided with substantial federal financial support, and the level of this support has continued to rise. It is currently (in fiscal 1964) running at the rate of somewhat over one billion dollars per year.

Clearly, this money is not spread equally among all of the institutions of higher education in the country. Among the nearly 2,000 such institutions in the United States, only about 200 carry on any programs of graduate education at all, and less than 100 are in a position to carry on major research programs. Needless to say, the Government, in following its specific policy of supporting those activities in which it has a direct interest, must select those institutions where such activities can be competently and effectively carried out. Therefore, the concentration of funds in a rather small number of institutions, about which many Congressmen and others have recently complained, is a direct result of the organization of our higher educational system itself. In our system a large number of colleges carry on undergraduate work and only a relatively small number of universities have elected to enter the field of graduate education and research. It is to these institutions that the Government has turned, for they are the only institutions available to serve the Government’s needs.

**Federal funds to scientific institutions**

Because education and research in the sciences are expensive, the result has been that those institutions able to carry on large research programs must receive relatively large sums of money. In a number of leading scientific institutions the federal funds supplied in support of education and research in scientific and engineering fields is nearly equal to the funds from all other sources expended on other university activities. For example, approximately 47 percent of the annual budget of the California Institute of Technology is supplied through federal contracts and grants for research and graduate educational programs.

This is not an unusual situation, though obviously this percentage is more likely to be high for an institute of technology than for a university with extensive activities in nonscience fields. In the academic year ending June 1961 (the latest year for which we can get comparable figures) when 47 percent of Caltech’s budget came from federal funds, Stanford’s corresponding figure was 46 percent, MIT’s was 51 percent, Princeton’s was 59 percent and Harvard’s was 28 percent.

In recent years the various agencies of the Government and the Congress have recognized that the extension and improvement of graduate education and research cannot be fully achieved without the improvement and expansion also of undergraduate education — and even the improvement of elementary and secondary school education in mathematics and the sciences. Thus, the National Science Foundation has, for example, supported a large number of relatively small research projects being carried on by faculty members of undergraduate colleges, has assisted such colleges in improving their undergraduate instructional equipment, and has financed a large program for the improvement of course content and instructional materials for elementary and secondary schools and the concomitant retraining of schoolteachers. The new (1963) higher education act provided funds to expand undergraduate teaching facilities in mathematics and the sciences.

**Reaction to federal support**

How have the universities themselves reacted to these programs of federal support? Again one must avoid generalizations. Some institutions with large numbers of competent scientists and engineers on their faculties have found these individuals commanding very substantial support of their proposals for scientific research. Thus, the science departments have expanded rapidly — often embarrassing the institution, which finds it difficult to provide adequate space and other facilities and services, and giving rise to unhappiness in nonscience departments since their activities seem to be receiving rather niggardly support.

Other universities, on the other hand, have found federal funds of enormous value in expanding and improving their scientific and engineering departments, and enabling them more completely to fulfill their objectives of carrying on high-quality research and education. In many cases, too, the nonscience departments are actually better off than before, since the institutions’ nonfederal funds, which would otherwise be required for science and engineering, are available for other areas.
 Needless to say, government support has given rise to many problems and headaches. There have been difficulties in arriving at proper formulas for calculating the "overhead" charges which should be paid by government research contracts and grants. There have been problems of revising institutional business and auditing procedures to meet government requirements for accountability of tax funds. Then, too, some universities with large and competent staffs equipped to carry on large enterprises have been enabled to build large and expensive research equipment, such as nuclear accelerators. While these universities have faced their own problems in accommodating such large enterprises, other institutions, unable to accommodate such big projects, have found faculty members unhappy and inclined to drift to institutions where "big things" are going on. Concurrently, a few faculty members find their preferences away from "big research" and are drifting to the smaller institutions.

Undeniably, the increase in research funds has created increased demand for research scientists and engineers and the competition among colleges and universities for first-class faculty members has become very severe.

On the whole, however, the fact is that American university research in science and engineering now occupies a position of outstanding leadership in the world, a very much larger number of graduate students are being given high-quality training, and the frontiers of knowledge have been greatly extended — all by virtue of federal support. No institution engaged in major federally supported activities would wish to return to the "old days." These institutions believe — with good reason — that the headaches can be cured, that readjustments can be made, and they hope also that nonfederal funds can be found to support those educational and research areas for which federal funds are not yet available.

The situation at Caltech

In conclusion, it may be well to ask what the situation is at Caltech.

On the whole, there is much to be said on the bright side. Our endowment funds, all from private sources, now stand at about $63,000,000. We have expended something like $29,000,000 in new buildings and equipment in the past ten years. Our total expenses for campus operations were $17,905,000 in 1962-63, of which 47 percent was for research supported by federal funds.

The Caltech situation is rather unusual because of our management contract for the operation of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. In terms of annual budget (not included in the above figures), this laboratory is the largest university-operated government facility in the country. It is carrying on an extensive program of managing NASA's deep-space unmanned flight projects and supervises extensive contracts for development work in many industrial companies. The funds expended in JPL operations, for which the Institute is reimbursed, loom large on a budget sheet but of course contribute very little directly to the financing of the campus program.

The campus program supported by the Federal Government, on the other hand, is entirely devoted to basic research in pure and applied science. All projects are originated and the funds requested by individual members of the faculty. No proposed program is approved for submission to a government agency until it is reviewed by a faculty committee, as well as by the administration, to make sure that it is an appropriate program for the campus and that the necessary space and facilities for it can be made available. In a very real sense, therefore, it can be said that the Federal Government is contributing funds for our research program which private funds alone could not support. We do not — nor does the Government expect us to — carry on military or other development programs on the campus.

The problems ahead

As we look to the future, serious problems face us. Federal funds are not available or are not adequate to carry on all the activities which we should like to pursue. Private funds must still be found to improve our general programs of undergraduate and graduate education, to support all of our work in the humanities and social sciences, and to provide most of the funds which we will require for additional urgently needed campus buildings. To meet our needs and opportunities, and their increasing costs, our endowment should be substantially expanded, our annual income from private sources must continue to grow rapidly, and millions of dollars of private funds for additional buildings must be secured.

Caltech occupies an outstanding position in the field of higher education in the United States. It can continue to maintain its relative position only as it moves forward in the future, as it has in the past, continually aiming to develop and improve its programs of education and research.
Studies on Fertilization and Early Development

Caltech biologists, working on the general problem of fertilization, have obtained new information on how the development of a new living creature is initiated.

Dr. Albert Tyler, professor of biology, and some members of his team — Drs. Lajos Piko and Hector Timourian, research fellows; and Paul C. Denny and Joram Piatigorsky, graduate students — are currently trying to discover what turns on protein synthesis at the start of development. Their research, done mostly with sea-urchins and other marine animals which produce large numbers of eggs, is supported by the Public Health Service and the National Science Foundation.

Synthetic activity is very low in the unfertilized egg, although it has all the machinery for the accelerated manufacture of the proteins that are the building blocks of life. Until recently, it was thought that the sperm, in fertilizing the egg, triggered the DNA's in the egg's nucleus to produce messenger RNA's — the DNA's serving as templates for the production of the RNA's, and the RNA's in turn serving as the templates on which the proteins are made. Messenger RNA's play key roles in cell differentiation; they determine which protein — hair, heart muscle, fingernail, or whatever — a cell will manufacture.

Dr. Tyler's group has now shown that messenger RNA's for proteins that are formed during early development already exist in the unfertilized cell.

In one experiment, the Caltech biologists spun unfertilized sea-urchin eggs in a centrifuge until most of the cells were pulled into two sections. One section included the nucleus, containing the DNA; the other section had no nucleus. The cell sections with no nucleus were then treated briefly with a solution of butyric acid. This chemical is one of many that can cause an entire egg to start developing and synthesizing protein as though it were a fertilized egg. In this experiment, the cell section with no nucleus shows this same activity, synthesizing protein for several hours until, apparently, its messenger RNA's wear out.

The results are the same whether the measurements are made on the intact egg, segments of the egg, or a cytoplasmic homogenate (cell-free system).

Cell-free systems that synthesize proteins are prepared by disrupting the cells and removing most of the "debris." The active system then consists of small particles of about 250 Angstroms called ribosomes, amino-acid-activating enzymes, "transfer" RNA, and extra energy sources.

Such systems manufacture the type of protein specified by the messenger RNA's that would ordinarily be present in the cells. After the endogenous messenger RNA's have "worn out" in the homogenates, the system will respond to new messenger RNA's — including even synthetic ones such as polyuridylic acid — and will manufacture the corresponding protein. For example, polyuridylic acid specifies formation of polyphenylalanine.

Cell-free systems from unfertilized eggs have very low activity. However, when polyuridylic acid is given to them, they respond quite as actively as those developing from eggs. This shows that excess protein-synthesizing machinery is present in the unfertilized egg and ready to go to work. Messenger RNA's for the manufacture of proteins of the early embryo are present too, but are masked. Fertilization (or artificial activation of the egg) unmasks the natural messenger RNA's. Certain ions, particularly magnesium and potassium, may be part of the controlling mechanism.

A former Caltech research fellow, Professor A. Rich of MIT, using different systems, has shown that the actual protein-synthesizing site is a cluster of ribosomes (polysomes) tied by a strand of messenger RNA. The Caltech group has demonstrated that, upon fertilization, polysomes form concomitantly with the increase in protein synthesis. The polysomes can be separated and the messenger RNA liberated by lowering magnesium ion concentration. Experiments along this line, now in progress, open new possibilities for the analysis of cell differentiation.

The Caltech researchers have also found that polyuridylic acid can activate the intact, unfertilized egg so that it incorporates various amino acids into protein. This has abnormal effects on development. However, one can envisage the possibility that, by manipulations with messenger RNA's, development may someday be controlled in beneficial ways.
ONE MINUTE AFTER INSEMINATION

The sperm's surface membrane unites with the egg's plasma membrane, which protrudes in the form of finger-like processes (microvilli) through an overlying coat (vitelline) membrane. Magnified 27,000 times.

THE ENTRANCE OF A SPERM INTO AN ANIMAL EGG

THREE MINUTES AFTER INSEMINATION

As they join, the two membranes break down so that the sperm nucleus becomes exposed to the egg-cytoplasm that flows toward it. Magnified 27,000 times.
The nucleus of the sperm is squeezed through the opening in the vitelline membrane but gets through the egg surface, or plasma membrane, without there being any openings to admit it or any holes left after its entry. Magnified 13,000 times.

These remarkable pictures, taken at Caltech with the electron microscope, are thin sections of the sperm and egg of a sea worm known as *Urechis caupo*. The sections are about 500 Angstroms thick. The diameter of the sperm head is 1.8 microns. The egg, only partly shown, has a diameter of 100 microns.

The nucleus of the sperm is drawn further into the egg, which has formed an entrance cone with structural elements that are probably concerned with the further progress of the sperm towards the place where it meets the egg nucleus. Magnified 13,000 times.
THERE WILL BE AN EAGLE
ON THE MOON...

Our world-recognized trademark—"the P&WA eagle"—has been identified with progress in flight propulsion for almost four decades, spanning the evolution of power from yesterday's reciprocating engines to today's rockets. Tomorrow will find that same Pratt & Whitney Aircraft eagle carrying men and equipment to the moon and to even more distant reaches of outer space.

Engineering achievement of this magnitude is directly traceable to our conviction that basic and applied research is essential to healthy progress. Today's engineers at Pratt & Whitney Aircraft accept no limiting criteria. They are moving ahead in many directions to advance our programs in energy conversion for every environment.

Our progress on current programs is exciting, for it anticipates the challenges of tomorrow. We are working, for example, in such areas as advanced gas turbines . . . rocket engines . . . fuel cells . . . nuclear power—all opening up new avenues of exploration in every field of aerospace, marine and industrial power application.

The breadth of Pratt & Whitney Aircraft programs requires virtually every technical talent . . . requires ambitious young engineers and scientists who can contribute to our advances of the state of the art. Your degree? It can be a B.S., M.S. or Ph.D. in: MECHANICAL • AERONAUTICAL • ELECTRICAL • CHEMICAL and NUCLEAR ENGINEERING • PHYSICS • CHEMISTRY • METALLURGY • CERAMICS • MATHEMATICS • ENGINEERING SCIENCE or APPLIED MECHANICS.

Career boundaries with us can be further extended through a corporation-financed Graduate Education Program. For further information regarding opportunities at Pratt & Whitney Aircraft, consult your college placement officer—or—write to Mr. William L. Stoner, Engineering Department, Pratt & Whitney Aircraft, East Hartford 8, Connecticut.
You
Think
It Is —
They Still Call It Dancing

Photographs taken at the Caltech Interhouse Dance, 1963
Progress takes many shapes in the Bell System. And among the shapers are young men, not unlike yourself, impatient to make things happen for their companies and themselves. There are few places where such restlessness is more welcomed or rewarded than in the fast-growing phone business.
The Month at Caltech

Chairman of the Board

Arnold O. Beckman, president and founder of Beckman Instruments, Inc., and a Caltech trustee since 1953, has been elected chairman of the board of trustees of the Institute. He succeeds the late Robert L. Minckler, chairman since 1961, who died on August 6, 1963.

A native of Cullom, Illinois, Dr. Beckman received his BS and MS degrees from the University of Illinois, and his PhD in photo-chemistry from Caltech in 1928. He taught chemistry at Caltech for 14 years, until 1940, when he left to go into the business of making scientific instruments. His firm now makes a broad range of precision instruments, systems, and components used in science, industry, medicine, space-defense, education, and agriculture.

Dr. Beckman has been a member of the California Institute Associates since 1948 and in 1953 he became the first alumnus to serve on the Caltech board of trustees. He headed the Institute's development program, launched in 1958, which raised $19.5 million dollars for new buildings and faculty salaries. The Institute's new Beckman Auditorium, built with funds contributed by Dr. and Mrs. Beckman, is to be dedicated next month.

Dr. Beckman is a regional trustee of Mills College in Oakland, California, and a member of the lay advisory board of Orange State College. He is chairman of the technical committee of the Southern California Air Pollution Coordinating Council, and adviser to the Orange County Board of Supervisors. He served as president of the Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce in 1956, and serves as a director and chairman of many southern California corporations.

Hans A. Bethe, Visiting Professor

Hans A. Bethe, professor of physics at the Laboratory of Nuclear Studies at Cornell University since 1937, came to Caltech this month to give a series of lectures on "The Theory of Nuclear Matter" and to conduct research in elementary particle physics and nuclear physics. Dr. Bethe is the originator of the theory which accounts for the sun's heat by conversion of hydrogen into helium and contributed greatly to the research done on the development of the atomic bomb and the H-bomb.

Woman of the Year

Olga Taussky Todd, research associate in mathematics at Caltech, has been named one of the 1963 Women of the Year by the Los Angeles Times. Dr. Todd is one of the world's most outstanding women mathematicians. She and her husband, John Todd, who is professor of mathematics at Caltech, came to the Institute in 1957 after ten years with the National Bureau of Standards in Washington, D.C.

A native of Czechoslovakia, Dr. Todd received her PhD from the University of Vienna in 1930, and her MA from the University of Cambridge in 1927.
GRADUATING ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS:

Some sound reasons why you should consider General Dynamics|Astronautics for a challenging and rewarding aerospace career are pictured below. We urge you to learn still more about Astronautics—the important completely space-oriented division of General Dynamics Corporation—by listening to the 33⅓ rpm recording offered below and by following news of Astronautics’ activities and accomplishments in your newspaper, trade magazines and technical journals. For more information and a personal interview, visit the Astronautics representative who will be on your campus soon.

Advanced Programs An array of active projects and widely varied studies at Astronautics range from Atlas SLV-3, Centaur and Glotrac to Manned Space Station, Lunar Base Support Systems and Orbiting Research Laboratory.

Modern Facilities More than $50 million have been invested in Astronautics' plant and equipment. Complete laboratory, test and computer facilities are established in-plant to enhance the performance of Astronautics' engineering tasks.

Ideal Location San Diego, California is the capital of moderate climate in the nation. Outdoor sports and recreation are year-round activities for enjoyment of your leisure hours. There is an abundance of academic and cultural opportunities in this city of more than 600,000—a vigorous and growing community.

Inspiring Achievements The reliable Atlas, first ICBM in the free world, has also established a remarkable record as a launch vehicle—boosting more pounds of payload from the earth than any other vehicle in the free world to date. The impressive list of Atlas achievements includes a perfect performance in the Atlas-Mercury series—four for four!

WE WILL VISIT YOUR CAMPUS FEBRUARY 11

HEAR “THE GENERAL DYNAMICS | ASTRONAUTICS STORY” as told by the men who have had major responsibilities in the direction of such dramatic technological advancements as the Atlas-Mercury manned orbital flights and Centaur, the free world's first liquid hydrogen-fueled space vehicle. See your placement office for your personal copy of this 33⅓ rpm recording, or write to Mr. R. E. Sutherland, Chief of Professional Placement and Personnel, Dept. 130-90, General Dynamics|Astronautics, 5882 Kearny Villa Road, San Diego, California 92112.

GENERAL DYNAMICS | ASTRONAUTICS
An Equal Opportunity Employer
1937. She has won world-wide recognition for her work in matrix theory and class field theory, and her interests range from the most abstract mathematics to specific problems such as the flutter theory in aeronautics. At Caltech she has greatly supplemented and strengthened the work in algebra and number theory. She is the Institute’s only voting woman faculty member.

Christian M. Clausen

Christian M. Clausen, head of the public information and education department of Caltech’s Jet Propulsion Laboratory, died of a heart attack on December 10 at his home. He was 49.

Clausen had been at JPL since 1957. He was the originator of the Lab’s public information department and also served as a staff assistant to Dr. William Pickering, director of the Laboratory. Prior to joining JPL Chris Clausen was a science writer for the Los Angeles Daily News, and had served for eight years as medical editor for the Los Angeles Examiner.

P.S.

A faculty member who went to this year’s Rose Bowl game reports that the University of Washington card-section, in the middle of their halftime demonstration, flashed the crowd a quick “CALTECH,” hastily withdrew it, came up with an “OOPS,” then produced “U of W.”

---

**ENGINEERS:**

*Edison offers you both challenge and opportunity in the all-electric future.*

If you want a career with challenge, we at Edison would like to talk to you.

We’d like to explain our role in the expanding economy of Southern California. Today, Edison serves over four and one half million people. In ten years it is estimated that one half again as many will be served.

And we’d like to explain how you can fit into this all-electric future. Unlimited opportunities exist for creative engineers as the demands for electricity continue to grow. To meet these growing demands new and more efficient engineering, construction and operating methods must be developed.

You’ll find opportunity at Edison. Because at Edison, you link your future with the all-electric future.

For full details, write or call:

Mr. C. T. Malloy
Southern California Edison Company
P.O. Box 351 - MADison 4-7111
Los Angeles 53, California

**Southern California Edison**
Opportunities at Hughes for EE's — Physicists — Scientists:

from the ocean floor to the moon...and beyond

Hughes sphere of activity extends from the far reaches of outer space to the bottom of the sea...includes advanced studies, research, design, development and production on projects such as: ① SURVEYOR — unmanned, soft-landing lunar spacecraft for chemical and visual analysis of the moon's surface; ② SYNCOM (Synchronous-orbit Communications Satellite) — provides world-wide communications with only three satellites; ③ F-111B PHOENIX Missile System — an advanced weapon system designed to radically extend the defensive strike capability of supersonic aircraft; ④ Anti-ICBM Defense Systems — designed to locate, intercept and destroy attacking enemy ballistic missiles in flight; ⑤ Air Defense Control Systems — border-to-border control of air defenses from a single command center — combines 3D radar, real-time computer technology and display systems within a flexible communications network; ⑥ 3D Radar — ground and ship-based systems give simultaneous height, range and bearing data — now in service on the nuclear-powered U.S.S. Enterprise; ⑦ POLARIS Guidance System — guidance components for the long-range POLARIS missile; ⑧ Hydrospace — advanced sonar and other anti-submarine warfare systems.

Other responsible assignments include: TOW wire-guided anti-tank missile, VATE automatic checkout equipment, Hard Point defense systems... R & D work on ion engines, advanced infrared systems, associative computers, lasers, plasma physics, nuclear electronics, communications systems, microwave tubes, parametric amplifiers, solid state materials and devices... and many others.

B.S., M.S. and Ph.D. Candidates
Members of our staff will conduct

CAMPUS INTERVIEWS
February 20 & 21, 1964

Learn more about opportunities at Hughes, our educational programs, and the extra benefits Southern California living offers. For interview appointment and literature, consult your College Placement Director. Or write: College Placement Office, Hughes Aircraft Company, P. O. Box 90515, Los Angeles 9, California.

Hughes Aircraft Company
U. S. CITIZENSHIP REQUIRED
An equal opportunity employer.
Alumni Speak Out... III

In the more than 5,000 Alumni Survey questionnaires that have been returned to the Institute to date, responses to the back-page invitation for "comments" have been gratifyingly numerous. Although there is no such thing as a typical comment, these are some representative ones.

The Caltech Honor System was a good thing while a student but left me unprepared for the dishonesty rampant in industry. In the Caltech atmosphere, I got the idea that all scientists and technical people were honest and straightforward. In industry, after some bad experiences, I have learned that they are just ordinary people, though more clever in their dishonesty.

I have been most thankful I had the privilege of graduation from CIT. Not only a marvelous education but much personal contact with faculty men such as Sorensen, MacArthur, Hinrichs, Kramer, MacMinn, Judy, Watson, etc. A wonderful group of men. Furthermore, it is a tremendous advantage in post-college life to reply when asked where graduated—"Caltech." One is immediately considered to have had a top-notch education and to be highly qualified.

I would like to recommend that new students be screened for psychological maturity. In my own case, my lack of maturity contributed significantly to the waste of a good part of my education.

I am of the sincere belief that the engineering graduate curriculum offered by Caltech at the time I attended (1956-1957) was much too theoretical. A more generalized approach for the average student would be much more beneficial. As an engineering manager for the past 3 years, I find my year of graduate work of relative little value to me.

Why not give a course in business ethics in the senior year in which to make it realistic — such ethics would replace the usual Tech honor system; i.e. cheating, collusion, etc., would not only be allowed but encouraged. In this way the cut-throats in the crowd would be identified among their classmates for future reference, and the honest student — the future victim — would get a "vaccination" against the reality of the world he is about to enter.

There is a feeling at Caltech that I.Q. is the only significant factor in scientific work. I now think interest and personal drive are more important, or at least as important. It is not easy to have a proper combination of relaxed scholarly effort and stimulation to excellence in the same institution. It is not known what constitutes a good education or what is the proper training for a scientist, although there are many workable programs in existence. In striving to have every graduate as nearly "perfect" as possible, an institution like Caltech can reject and fail many who do not fit the mold. Caltech is too introspective, too ready to condemn to failure those who do not exceed them.

Approximately 1/3 of my freshman class left before graduation, which is a great waste and failure on the Institute's part.

The Institute selects on native intelligence, examines on native intelligence and bases the whole education on native intelligence. Unfortunately the type of native intelligence is not the only factor in successful work but is the easiest to measure. I believe that Caltech could benefit by not attacking the students so violently with the curriculum, but rather by relaxing the standards if necessary and let the students come to you.

There would be much to gain if the undergraduates could have their education as less of a grueling, bitter ordeal.

I feel that the students should be encouraged to attend the church of their choice in a similar way that they are encouraged to join a prof. society or take an interest in politics.

When I first graduated, I felt deficient in the so-called "practical" engineering-type course. After a few years in industry, this was no longer a problem. CIT should continue to emphasize basic theory and leave to industry the job of teaching the young engineer the practical and economic aspects of engineering.

Questionnaire gives me a pain.
are you thinking
BIG

...with respect to your career choice?

Of course, you are... and should be. But don't overlook the fact that "thinking big" really applies to your own personal growth and achievements.

And that's an important reason for investigating the opportunities here at Sikorsky Aircraft.

We believe that our company offers just the "right-sized" environment for young engineers seeking strong personal identification and stature opportunities. The technical talent we require can enjoy diversified activities in a field that permits full expression of engineering imagination and professional competence.

At Sikorsky Aircraft, our continuing objective is the further advancement of a new technology... VTOL aircraft systems... providing the world's most versatile means of transportation.

The engineering assignments are diversified and stimulating. Our engineers work in small interdependent groups on demanding problems in such areas as aerodynamics • human factors engineering • automatic controls • stress engineering • weight prediction • systems analysis • operations research • reliability/maintainability engineering • autonavigation systems... among others.

Your opportunity for personal growth could be here... now. Can we help you "think big" towards that goal?

Career potential is further enhanced by our corporation-financed Graduate Education Program. Please consult your Placement Office for campus interview dates—or—write Mr. Leo J. Shalvoy, Engineering Personnel.

Sikorsky Aircraft
DIVISION OF UNITED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

STRATFORD, CONNECTICUT
An Equal Opportunity Employer

JANUARY 1964
This is the third article in a series about the 1963-64 Alumni Fund.

If you have never read of the very early days of Throop Polytechnic Institute you have missed something. No important event went unchronicled. "Received from the sale of oranges, $464.88; wood, $12.50; rent of ground for hay, $50.00." This was from the Annual Report of 1908. An entry from a trustee meeting September 2, 1907, suggests that the Institute "... buy two cows for boarding halls if deemed advisable." A local bank remitted interest charges for three months on a note for $6000 with future interest to be reduced from eight to seven percent. Tuition in '95 was increased to $105 annually with no discount for cash. Dr. Hiram Reid donated the wagon used to haul the Harvard telescope to Mt. Wilson. The Executive Committee was considering life insurance as a means of raising money.

Trustee meetings took place in private homes and were generally concerned with fund raising. For, even before the turn of the century, TPI was spending far more on its students than was received from tuition. Dr. Norman Bridge made the following statement to the Board of Trustees at the annual meeting, June 13, 1899:

"No one of us will pretend for an instant that any school doing the high order of work this one does can be supported and fulfill its mission from tuition, together with the income that can be gotten out of ... property which the Institute owns."

There are those who dismiss these years as only a preamble to the changes which occurred with the installation of Dr. Millikan as Chairman of the Executive Council. But, as early as 1914 Throop College of Technology led the six top-ranked California schools in at least four important aspects. An early survey by a Santa Paula businessman interested in finding the best college for his son showed that Throop spent three times as much on its students as any of the other six schools; that the average instructor's salary was the highest; that more money was invested per student in plant and equipment; and, finally, that the instructor-to-student ratio was the best at one-to-three.

No one could fail to be impressed by the dedication of the men who were entrusted with the tremendous task of building the college envisioned by Amos G. Throop. They gave of their time and of their ingenuity and of their money. But most important was their foresight and common sense.

Today we have the California Institute of Technology. Its eminence is world renowned. We pursue disciplines unimaginable to Throop's founders, but our trustees, our president, and our faculty are united in the same basic effort; to provide the younger generations with the finest engineering and scientific education available anywhere.

The problem of financing such quality education is still with us, but fortunately we can call on a larger and larger base for help. Alumni make up the vast majority of this base and we ask you for annual financial support in whatever amount you can afford. Unrestricted funds, of course, are generally the most useful, but a gift to any area of your choice will be warmly welcomed.

The Institute is a great deal larger, and perhaps more sophisticated, than it was in Father Throop's time. But let us not admit that its friends are any less dedicated to its principles.

— C. Russell Nance '36 and David L. Hanna '52
Directors of the Caltech Alumni Fund
Problem Solvers Wanted

How do you guide a manned, maneuverable re-entry vehicle to a routine landing... from 200 miles up, 10,000 miles out?

What are the basic system requirements, the operational concepts of a Recovery Control Center? What limits and tolerances will exist for each stage of the recovery process (re-entry, hypersonic flight, terminal approach, etc.)? What are the flight parameters, the human factors? What is needed in the way of vehicle energy management, ground guidance, range instrumentation, data processing, data handling, display, communications, trajectory analysis, information flow analysis?

These are typical of the problems challenging young engineers and scientists at Raytheon in an exciting variety of advanced projects. For EE’s, math, and physics majors — in all the varied fields of engineering and science — Raytheon offers unlimited opportunity for growth and continuous advancement.

Personal career development is encouraged by a wide variety of educational assistance... seminars, special courses to meet individual needs, and work-study programs leading to advanced degrees from renowned universities are all available.

You may well qualify for one of the exciting, career-building projects underway at Raytheon. Originality, imagination, and high technical competence are your tools — the rewards are prompt and appropriate for success.

For detailed information, arrange an on-campus interview through your placement director or write G. W. Lewis, Manager of College Relations, Raytheon Company, Executive Offices, Lexington, Mass. 02173. An Equal Opportunity Employer.

Raytheon offers opportunities for BS, MS, and Ph.D. levels in Solid State / Microwave Electronics / Infrared / Lasers / Communications & Data Processing / Radar / Missile Systems / Sonar / Electron Tube Technology / Underwater Technology
ARE YOU INTERESTED IN...

CONSTRUCTION...
WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT...

IN THE GEOGRAPHICAL AREA OF YOUR CHOICE
WITH THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DIVERSITY OF ACTIVITIES
The Corps of Engineers embraces virtually the entire range of modern engineering in the construction field. Projects include research into basic science, engineering investigations and regional planning; design, construction, operations, maintenance, and management of hydroelectric power dams, flood control facilities, harbors and navigable streams; design, construction and maintenance of family housing, runways, hangars, roadways, hospitals, and nuclear power installations; and construction of intercontinental ballistic missile and space launching sites. In addition are the allied fields of cartography, geodesy and engineer intelligence.

OPPORTUNITY
Opportunity is provided for progressive movement toward top positions for men with ability. You learn from top calibre professionals who have had many years of high quality experience.

LOCATION
Projects are located in every State and in many foreign countries.

A CAREER NOT A JOB
The Corps offers a well defined 18-month rotational training program for young graduate engineers covering all facets of the varied work program. This is followed by planned career development assignments. These assignments enable a young man to develop his special aptitudes in the engineering field. As he progresses, special attention is given to the development of managerial and executive abilities.

ADVANCED EDUCATION AVAILABLE
Attendance at special seminars, symposiums, and university courses and participation in professional societies and activities are encouraged, and sponsored when possible. Fellowships for advanced study and awards for outstanding achievement are also available.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION...and an illustrated brochure "Your Career", write to the Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, Washington, D.C. 20315
THE 100,000-MILE ENGINEERING TEST THAT SET OVER 100 NEW WORLD RECORDS

It began September 21 in Florida, when a team of four 1964 Comets, specially equipped and prepared for high-speed driving, set out to do the equivalent of four earth orbits at Daytona International Speedway—100,000 miles at speeds well over 100 miles an hour, round the clock for 40 days, through weather fair and foul.

They did it, all four of them, and they made history! They did it in the full glare of publicity. In semi-tropical heat. In the teeth of torrential squalls that fringed two hurricanes. Including time out for refueling and maintenance, the lead car averaged over 108 miles an hour, toppling over 100 national and world records!*

For all practical purposes this was an engineering trial—the most grueling test of staying power and durability ever demanded of a new car. Only near perfection could stand the punishment dished out to parts and components hour after hour, mile after mile. Brakes, engines, transmissions, ignition systems—every single part a pawn in a grim game of truth or consequence, with total product quality the stake. And they all came through hands down!

Now that it’s over and in the record books, what does it mean? New proof of Ford-built stamina and durability! New evidence that Ford-built means better built! Yes—and more, it is a direct reflection of the confidence and creative know-how, the spirit and spunk of Ford Motor Company’s engineering, styling and manufacturing team—men who find rewarding adventure in technical breakthroughs.

More proof of the exciting things that happen at Ford Motor Company to bring brighter ideas and better-built cars to the American Road.

*World Unlimited and Class C records, subject to FIA approval

WHERE ENGINEERING LEADERSHIP BRINGS YOU BETTER-BUILT CARS
1927
ALLAN C. G. MITCHELL, PhD, head of the Indiana University physics department since 1938, died of a heart attack on November 7. A native of Houston, Texas, he was a graduate of the University of Virginia. He worked on atomic development at the University of Chicago during the war and also did research for the Navy at the Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory. Before going to Indiana, he was head of the physics department at New York University.

1936
LOUIS G. DUNN, MS(ME) '37, MS (AE) '38, PhD '40, is now vice president and general manager of the Aerojet-General Corporation's Sacramento plants. In 1940 he joined the Caltech faculty, worked on the rocket project during World War II, and in 1947 became director of Caltech's Jet Propulsion Laboratory. In 1954 he became head of research and development, and director, of the Guided Missile Research Division of the Ramo-Wooldridge Corporation, and was instrumental in reorganizing the division into the Space Technology Laboratories.

1944
CLIFFORD I. CUMMINGS is now manager of advanced system development operations at Electro-Optical Systems, Inc., in Pasadena. He had been on the staff of Caltech's Jet Propulsion Laboratory for the past 17 years, serving at one time as director of the entire lunar program, and later as special assistant to William H. Pickering, director of JPL.

1950
ROBERT T. STEVENS writes that "after several years developing and selling tools and machines for the manufacturing industry, I have recently specialized in the relatively new field of pressure welding of metals, acting as consultant in product design and application for this process and also acting as West Coast representative. "This method produces true intermolecular bonds in non-ferrous metals with absolutely no heat requirements, and no fluxes or electrical connections, so that the bond is pure metal without cast structure or normal alloying. For instance, when a copper tube is butt-welded to an aluminum tube, a hermetic interface results without the usual CU-

94 alloy, and with a joint strength greater than either of the tubes. At the pressures used (about 200,000 PSI) the metals fuse at room temperatures or below.

"Present applications are mainly electrical connections, heat-transfer devices, and hermetically sealed metal enclosures for such things as transistors, radio crystals, diodes, SCR, power rectifiers, explosive actuators, gas generators, radio isotopes and medicines."

1957
MICHAEL B. DUKE, MS '81, PhD '83, scientist in the U.S. Geological Survey's astrogeology branch, is co-winner of the Nininger Meteorite Award for 1963. Dr. Duke receives $500 as his share of the prize. The Dukes live in Hyattsville, Md., with their two children.

1958
JOHN L. HOKANSON, MS, has received an International Nickel Company Fellowship to continue graduate study at Purdue University in Lafayette, Ind. His doctoral work is in the field of physical metallurgy, specifically in the study of dislocation arrangements in twisted single crystals.

memorandum:

DO YOU HAVE A TOUGH COMPUTER APPLICATION?

To: A Prospective Customer
From: Dr. A. S. Jackson

1. Recently, a CTI customer was faced with the problem of designing a digital adaptive control system. Called in on one day's notice, CTI designed the system for them, utilizing microelectronic circuitry with triple redundancy. With this design, our customer won a technical competition against some of the industry's largest firms.

2. For its customers, CTI offers consultation, services, and staff augmentation in the areas of computer applications, automation, control theory, programming, problem solution, human factors, management planning, and systems analysis. We will be happy to discuss your problem with you, and ask that you call us collect.

Dr. A. S. Jackson
Director of Research

CONTROL TECHNOLOGY INC.
12322 Belmount Ave., Long Beach 4 California 90804 • (213) 433-3360

CIRCLE THIS DATE ON YOUR CALENDAR

Saturday—February 15, 1964

ANNUAL ALUMNI DINNER DANCE

at

The University Club of Los Angeles
614 South Hope Street
Los Angeles

Cocktails at 6:30—Dinner at 8:00—Dancing at 9
In just a few short months, those new graduates spanned the distance from the classroom to the space age. They joined with their experienced colleagues in tackling a variety of tough assignments. On July 20th, 1963, their product went off with a roar that lasted two solid minutes, providing more than 1,000,000 pounds of thrust on the test stand. This was part of the USAF Titan III C first stage, for which United Technology Center is the contractor. Two of these rockets will provide over 80% of all the thrust developed by the vehicle.

Some of you now reading this page may soon be a part of that program...or a part of other significant, long-range programs.

UTC now offers career opportunities for promising graduates at the bachelor's, master's, and doctoral levels in EE, ME, AeroE, and ChE. Positions are important and offer personal and professional reward in the areas of systems analysis, instrumentation, data acquisition, preliminary design, aerothermodynamics, stress analysis, structure dynamics, testing, propellant development and processing. If your idea of a career in the space age includes joining a young, vital, aggressive company...then get in touch with us now! If you want to work with men who can develop and build a wide variety of sophisticated propulsion systems, see your placement officer for a campus interview or write Mr. Jay Waste.

SOME OF THE MEN WHO WORKED ON IT WERE IN COLLEGES LIKE YOURS A YEAR AGO

UNITED TECHNOLOGY CENTER

P. O. Box 358 - Dept. E, Sunnyvale, California
U.S. Citizenship Required – Equal Opportunity Employer

JANUARY 1964
A fulsome and fact-filled report on the 25th reunion of the Class of '38 by Secretary Charles W. Clarke reveals that:

The reunion of the Class of '38 was a great success and fun for those who were able to attend. For those who could not attend, I will do my best to tell you a little about what happened.

As planned, we started our festivities at Bill Nash's home. Carl Friend and I were the first to arrive. Carl, who has been with Ryan Aircraft in San Diego for the last several years, has recently taken a new job in Research & Development at Lockheed-Burbank.

Paul Dennis and Paul Steichert showed up slightly later. Paul Dennis is consulting, and Paul Steichert is still busy making castings as president of Alhambra Foundry.

Lupton Wilkinson surprised us all by appearing on the scene after writing a long letter explaining he would not be able to attend. At the last minute, he talked the boss into a business trip to Los Angeles. Willie is Manufacturing Controls boss at McDonnell Aircraft in St. Louis. Having had some experience in this area myself, I was surprised to find Willie still sound of mind and body.

We had lunch together the next day and toured some of the Garrett Corporation's facilities.

Harper North had no trouble getting the afternoon off because as vice president of Research & Development at Thompson-Ramo, he is boss. Harper has made quite a name for himself by starting Pacific Semi-Conductor and guiding it through its rapid growth.

Harry Boller is still doing very well as president of his company Boller & Chivens Inc., and Roland Stone continues to pay high taxes from the profits of his Superior Honey Company. It's amazing what happens from an interest in the birds and bees.

Garth Wilson, manager of Brush Instruments, arrived with an unusual smile and announced his recent marriage. We are all interested in meeting the new bride. Rumor has it that she is from Paris—France. Not bad for a kid from Fresno.

Most everyone arrived at Bill's home by car; but Homer Wood, as we all might expect, arrived via motorcycle—clad in space helmet and all related gear. Homer is doing exceptionally well with his consulting business, H. J. Wood & Associates.

Fred Llewellyn's busy schedule allowed him to get to Bill's home but not to the banquet. Fred is still running Forest Lawn.

Later in the afternoon, Phil Shepherd, vice president of James, Pond, & Clark Inc.; Tom Davis, staff representative for The Boeing Company; Cliff Downing, production engineer for General Electric—Ontario, arrived. They were so busy catching up on the drinks, the writer had very little chance to talk to them. At that time Bruce Elliot arrived. Bruce has recently taken a new job at Ford Aeromitronic.

Bob Barry, of course, made a grand entrance late in the afternoon. Bob is still doing well with his consulting business, Barry & Associates. He was late because that was his day to count the cash.

Clay Smith was, undoubtedly, the man in the best physical condition. He is chairman of the Department of Geology at New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology.

Stan Wolfberg arrived with a truckload of suits but couldn't find a buyer. Maybe that's why he is in the consulting business with Cresap, McCormick & Paget.

Free drinks went to the classmate who came the greatest distance. This was our great Class Vice President Evan Johnson. Evan had that winning smile and was a great asset to our gathering. He lives in New York as president of the American Messer Company.

At the banquet at Rodger Young Auditorium, more members of the class were evident, including Sidney Bertram, Don Davidson, Arthur Downing, Art Ellings, Nick Ivanoff, Sam Keller, John McGraw, Kneeland Nunan, Ed Shanahan, Joe Westheimer, and Bill Althouse.

For the Class of '38, the main event at the banquet was the presentation of a Life Membership in the Class of 1938 to Dr. Donald Clark. This was in the form of a scroll prepared by the diligent efforts of Bob Barry. Bob also made the presentation. Doc was speechless for two reasons: he was pleasantly surprised and he had lost his voice as a result of what he did or did not do to prevent it.

John has been doing some terrific structural engineering jobs, like the Space Needle at the Seattle Fair.

Armand Du Fresne, who always attends Caltech events, is still with Consolidated Electrodynamics. He is now chief product engineer, Jose Velasquez, whom we had not seen for some time, is now with Hughes Aircraft as engineering project manager.

We always miss those who could not attend and appreciate the notes from them. Frank Jevitt, our class president, sent a telegram expressing his best wishes. Frank lives in New York City as president of the Vitro Corporation.

Jack Johannesen wrote a letter explaining a business trip to Florida would prevent his presence. Jack has recently retired from North American Aviation to devote his full time to property investments.

Boyce Grabinger phoned in from Bakersfield to express his best wishes and explain that business prevented his attendance. August Segelhorst also sent a note. As chief engineer for Jalisco Pump in Costa Mesa, he is doing well but complains he hasn't made enough to retire. Welcome to the club!

We really hit the jackpot on letters from the Jack Baker family. Jack wrote a letter from an offshore drilling platform in the Gulf of Mexico at the Isthmus of Tehuantepec. Jack's wife Dood also wrote a letter from the home base. Jack moved his family to Mexico some time ago so he could take a position as manager and vice president of Baker-Hernandezas Petroleras, S.A. This is an affiliate of Baker Oil Tools where Jack has worked since graduation.

Ralph Jones, who played a helpful part in organizing the class reunion, was not able to attend for pleasure reasons. The conflict was a European trip for him and his wife. Unfortunately, he returned the day after the reunion to resume his duties as vice president of Booz, Allen, & Hamilton.

We can all relax now and look forward to the next reunion in 1968. In the meantime, however, your Class Secretary would be happy to hear from you at any time.
He's ready to answer your career questions about any or all of these outstanding organizations.

Their products range from chemicals to chemical fibers... from plastic bottles to nuclear sources. Their diverse activities create opportunities in research, development, engineering, manufacturing, and marketing. Yet, because each is an important member of the Monsanto corporate family, the Monsanto Professional Employment representative coming to your campus is fully prepared to give you complete facts on any or all of them... show you where you may fit in.

You will have a better opportunity to learn more about us... in a single interview. See your Placement Director now to set up that interview when we visit your campus soon. Or, write for our new brochure, "You And Monsanto," to Manager, Professional Recruiting, MONSANTO, St. Louis, Missouri 63166.

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER
CALTECH CALENDAR

ALUMNI EVENTS
February 15 Alumni Dinner Dance
May 2 Alumni Seminar
June 10 Annual Alumni Meeting

ATHLETIC SCHEDULE
Basketball
January 17 Claremont-H. Mudd at Caltech
January 18 Upland at Upland
January 24 Biola at Caltech
January 25 LaVerne at Caltech
January 28 Occidental at Occidental
February 1 Whittier at Caltech

FRIDAY EVENING DEMONSTRATION LECTURES
Lecture Hall, 201 Bridge, 7:30 p.m.
January 17 The Unexpectedness of History
—Peter W. Fay
January 24 The Death of a Star
—Jesse L. Greenstein
January 31 Three Exciting Underwater Environments: Coral Reefs, Submarine Canyons, and Kelp Beds.
—Wheeler J. North

PLACEMENT ASSISTANCE TO CALTECH ALUMNI

There are two ways in which the Placement Service may be of assistance to you:

(1) To help you seek new employment or a change of employment.

(2) To inform you when outstanding opportunities arise.

This service is provided to Alumni by the Institute. A fee or charge is not involved.

If you wish to avail yourself of this service, fill in and mail the following form:

To: Caltech Alumni Placement Service
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California 91109

Please send me:

☐ An Application for Placement Assistance
☐ A form to report my field and operation so that I may be notified of any outstanding opportunities.

Name __________________________ Degree(s) __________
Address ________________________ Year(s) __________

ALUMNI ASSOCIATION OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS
PRESIDENT
Peter V. H. Serrell, '36
VICE PRESIDENT
Patrick J. Fazio, '53
SECRETARY
Donald S. Clark, '29
TREASURER
John R. Fea, '51

ALUMNI CHAPTER OFFICERS
NEW YORK CHAPTER
President Victor Wook, '40
Electronic Energy Conversion Corp.
342 Madison Ave., New York 17, N.Y.
Vice-President Bruno H. Pilors, '44
75 Echo Lane, Larchmont, N.Y.
Secretary-Treasurer Harry J. Moore, '48
590 Madison Ave., New York, N.Y.

BOSTON CHAPTER
President Robert C. Kaufman, '56
21 Seven Oaks Rd., N. Billerica, Mass.
Vice-President Thomas G. Stockbrand, '53
10 Bulkeley Rd., Littleton, Mass.
Secretary-Treasurer Charles K. Daniels, '59
8 Cutter Farm Rd., Lexington, Mass.

WASHINGTON, D.C. CHAPTER
Chairman Willard M. Hanger, '43
4720 Sedgwick St., N.W., Washington, D.C.

CHICAGO CHAPTER
President Laurence H. Nobles, '49
Dept. of Geology, Northwestern Univ., Evanston, Ill.
Vice-President Philip E. Smith, '39
Eastman Kodak Co., 1712 Prairie Ave., Chicago, Ill.

SAN FRANCISCO CHAPTER
President Edwin P. Schlinger, '52
G.E. Valley Atomic Lab., Pleasanton, Calif.
Vice-President Dallas L. Peck, '61
Secretary-Treasurer Thomas G. Tauxe, '55
Lawrence Radiation Lab., Univ. of Calif., Berkeley, Calif.
Meetings: 15th Floor. Engineers' Club, 206 Sansome St., San Francisco
Informal luncheons every Thursday at 11:45 A.M.
Contact Mr. Farrar, EX 9-5277, on Thursday morning for reservations.

SACRAMENTO CHAPTER
President Joe A. Dobrowski, '43
P.O. Box 1952, Sacramento 9, Calif.
Vice-President Dudley A. Bennett, '47
4355 Marble Way, Carmichael, Calif.
Secretary-Treasurer Harris K. Maury, '50
2511 Corson Way, Sacramento 21, Calif.
Meetings: University Club, 1315 "K" St.
Luncheon first Friday of each month at noon.
Visiting alumni cordially invited—no reservation.

ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE
We are the chemical company that an electromechanical designer might be operating some day

The chemistry of photography is far from being all of chemistry that concerns us. Actually, it is rapidly going into hiding inside such machines as these automatic x-ray processors for hospitals, seen here under construction.

We need electromechanical engineers to design all kinds of automatic photographic apparatus that we have ideas for—big ones, little ones, simple ones, super-sophisticated ones, inexpensive ones to sell by the millions, very expensive ones for maybe internal use only.

We need process engineers, by which we mean those who figure out the best way to make what the designers have dreamed up.

We need industrial engineers, who work out the most rational relationships between apparatus and people—the people who work in the plants and even on occasion the people who buy or use our products.

This is a great place for all categories of engineers. However, we think in categories largely for hiring purposes. There is such a thing as mobility, and it doesn't have to be geographical.

Incidentally, we still need chemical engineers. Maybe you are one and maybe you join us and maybe you turn out to be such a whiz at your profession that after a while we ask you to operate an electromechanical plant for us.

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY
Business and Technical Personnel Department, Rochester, N.Y. 14650
An equal-opportunity employer offering a choice of three communities:
Rochester, N.Y., Kingsport, Tenn., and Longview, Tex.
The Role of R&D in Industry

Q. Dr. Haller, how does General Electric define that overworked term, Research and Development?
A. At General Electric we consider "R&D" to cover a whole spectrum of activities, ranging from basic scientific investigation for its own sake to the constant efforts of engineers in our manufacturing departments to improve their products—even in small ways. Somewhere in the middle of this range is an area we call simply "technology", the practical knowledge that couples scientific knowledge with the engineering of products and services to meet customer needs.

Q. How is General Electric organized to do research and development?
A. Our Company has four broad product groups—Aerospace and Defense, Consumer, Electric Utility, and Industrial. Each group is divided into divisions, and each division into departments. The departments are like separate businesses, responsible for engineering their products and serving their markets. So one end of the R&D spectrum is clearly a department function—engineering and product design. At the other end is the Research Laboratory which performs both basic and applied research for the whole Company, and the Advanced Technology Laboratories which also works for the whole Company in the vital linking function of putting new knowledge to practical use.

Having centralized services of Research and Advanced Technology does not mean that divisions or departments cannot set up their own R&D operations, more or less specialized to their technical or market interests. There are many such laboratories; e.g., in electronics, nuclear power, space technology, polymer chemistry, jet engine technology, and so on.

Q. Doesn't such a variety of kinds of R&D hamper the Company's potential contribution? Don't you find yourselves stepping on each other's toes?
A. On the contrary! With a great many engineers and scientists working intensively on the problems they understand better than anyone else, we go ahead simultaneously on many fronts. Our total effort is broadened. Our central, Company-wide services in Research and Advanced Technology are enhanced by this variety of effort by individual departments.

Q. How is Advanced Technology Services organized?
A. There are three Advanced Technology Laboratories: Chemical and Materials Engineering, Electrical and Information Engineering, and Mechanical Engineering; and the Nuclear Materials and Propulsion Operation. The Laboratories do advanced technology work on their own, with Company funds, and on contract to product departments or outside customers and government agencies. NMPO works for the AEC and the military to develop materials and systems for high-temperature, high-power, low-weight nuclear reactors. ATS is the Company's communication and information center for disseminating new technologies. It also plans and develops potential new business areas for General Electric.

Q. So R&D at General Electric is the work of a great many men in a great many areas?
A. Of course. The world is going through a vast technological revolution—in the ways men can handle energy, materials, and information. Our knowledge is increasing exponentially. In the last five years we have spent more than half the money ever spent for research and development. To keep competitive, and to grow, industry must master that mountain of new knowledge and find ways to put it to practical use for mankind. Only by knowing his field well and keeping up with the rush of new developments, can the young engineer contribute to the growth of his industry—and society as a whole.

Progress Is Our Most Important Product

GENERAL ELECTRIC
An Equal Opportunity Employer