

Communist juggernaut, in the early activities in the loss of North Korea, in the sell-out of Laos to the Communists which made the Vietnam nightmare possible, and most recently he has been of course in Paris. In the face of these and his other activities, how can you say other than that his ideologic orientation is soft on Communism?

I care not what his or anyone else's *personal* philosophy is if he understands his subject to such a depth that he CAN IN FACT LOGICALLY DEFEND ANY OF A FULL SPECTRUM OF VIEWPOINTS. AND DOES!

I just wish I could find more than one major mass media group or group of individuals, signed, or unsigned, who understood in depth the fields they discuss, and made an honest effort to treat their subjects objectively.

It would appear that to get ahead in the mass media you have to be a graduate of the London School of Economics or believe strongly in their socialist philosophy.

You see, Mr. Friendly apparently equates analysis with ideologic bias. I doubt that this is intentional, but he probably knows much more than he understands in these subjects. So

he sways with the "crowd."

There is a big difference between objective "analysis" after a presidential speech and using "analysis" time for counter-briefing (as President Johnson and President Kennedy both found). In fact, only a few days ago, CBS News teamed up with the Democratic Party to put on a so-called "NEWS Special" which was really either a continuous commercial disguised as news or demagogic propaganda all the way through. Yet they almost completely hid the fact that the Democratic Party had anything to do with it, to the point that I thought that CBS News had hit an unbelievable new low. Normally CBS News insists on keeping complete control of content of a special news program. Unless they are teamed up with socialist-thinking Democrats? That was a perfect example of why Mr. Agnew felt obliged to speak out.

Can't you return *E&S* publication activities to following at least partially Dr. Millikan's "Scientific Mode of Approach" by making certain that the full story relating to such events as Mr. Friendly's visit is presented for readers? Not all readers had access to the text of Mr. Agnew's speech for study in addition

to hearing it. Only through detailed comparisons can the insidious effect of Mr. Friendly's misquotes be brought out clearly. (You probably would not have published his speech if you had done it objectively yourself!) Objectivity is a vital criterion for all activities at a school like Caltech. I feel that even the Caltech YMCA should show more objectivity than it does by selecting a broader spectrum of speakers. But I have yet to see an even half-way objective presentation of any viewpoint in *E&S* where a politico-economic bias is possible.

Our society is heterogeneous, not monolithic. Otherwise Caltech could not exist at all. Isn't it time you recognized that it takes heterogeneity and independence of thought and action and intellectual laziness on the part of people, particularly in the politico-economic sphere, to maintain our "unstable" but highly productive economy in the face of efforts to crowd us into socialist stagnation?

The fact of the matter is that without man's creative instability and his desire for independence, we would still be in the cave.

KEATS A. PULLEN, JR., '39  
Kingsville, Maryland

## Hadley Ford Is Never Bored



Hadley Ford, in charge of university relations at Caltech's Jet Propulsion Laboratory, found his life changed considerably when, during a plane trip last December, he noodled out some little poems.

Once started, there was no stopping. He took to carrying pad and pencil all the time because he couldn't ignore the poems' demand for liberation. He has since turned out more than 250, and says it hasn't been easy to live with all those things tumbling about in his brain. He wonders if there will ever again be a time when he won't see the world in poetical groupings such as those on this page.

The universe is not  
As we know it  
Our perceptions  
And projections  
Grow it

Life results from the Atom's insistence  
On Learning  
Of its own existence

We will soon  
Compose our hymns  
Entirely  
Of acronyms

Let me make a simple  
Assumption  
Success depends on the square  
Of one's gumption\*

\*Another assumption  
I'd like to share  
Our nation's success  
Depends on the square

Things may get better  
before  
They get worse  
but not if you consider  
The whole universe

Here's a question  
On which you might brood  
Is the air you breathe  
Any worse than your food?

The best management tools  
Come  
From bending the rules  
Some

Proposed ideas  
Are seldom gem'nal  
If they start with  
An "Ahem"nal

Some errors are little  
And some are much less  
But it doesn't take many  
To end in a mess