# SCIENCE IN THE DEFENSE PROGRAM

By Frank B. Jewett, '98

President, National Academy of Sciences

For fifteen years following the first World War there were frequent articles on the probable role of science in future warfare. While this was quite natural in view of the part played by the airplane, the tank, and lethal gas in the titanic struggle of 1914-1918, the articles in the main evoked interest rather than concerted action directed toward full employment of science in preparation for more widespread and more deadly warfare.

Despite the fact that the decade and a half following the war was a period of the most productive activity in fundamental science research and of intense effort to apply old and new knowledge promptly in industry, this laissez-faire attitude in the military sector was largely a reflection of men's attitude generally toward war. The weariness of the struggle and the distaste for carnage and destruction, coupled with a naive faith that men had learned finally the lesson of war's futility, gave rise to the era of small appropriations to the military, to disarmament conferences, and to the League of Nations and similar efforts to organize the world for a settlement of international controversies by reasonable methods rather than by recourse to mass murder.

# DEFENSE SCIENCE RETARDED

In the United States particularly, the decade of the 1920's saw this carried to the extreme. Warships were taken to sea and sunk or were laid up and the Army was reduced to the status of a moderate-sized police force—a force so small and scattered that no really effective training or development of radically new implements could be had. Appropriations were cut to the irreducible minimum of maintaining a national agency which the country would have liked to abolish entirely had it quite dared. In this atmosphere and under these handicaps it is to the credit of the Army and Navy that they did as well as they did. There was little money to spend on development and less still for research to produce entirely new instruments of war.

When the storm clouds of another world war began to form in the middle 1930's, the volume of articles on the place and importance of modern science in warfare increased enormously in both the scientific and lay press. So, too, did discussion of the need for insuring that scientific and technical men should be utilized in the fields of their competence and not inducted indiscriminately into the combat services where men of less specialized training could serve equally well.

So far as lay discussion was concerned, it was largely emotional, frequently ill-informed, and sometimes fantastic. Naturally, discussion among technical people was more realistic, but on the whole was mainly related to applying newly acquired knowledge and techniques to the improvement of existing military implements. The idea of organizing scientific research on a huge industrial scale, where the ultimate end of "all-out war" was the industry to be served, was slow to emerge.

Probably the most difficult hurdle every industry has had to get over in the effective introduction of scientific research

as a powerful tool in its operation has been to realize that the most profitable research is that which is carried on with the least restraint imposed by current practice. Practice can be adapted to radically new ideas, but radical ideas rarely, if ever, evolve from mere improvements in current practice.

Research in military matters is no exception. War being a very ancient art, military men are on the whole extremely conservative as to new tools. Like doctors, long experience has made them cautious and with possibly a more than ordinary tendency to impose on a research project requirements of current practice which, in fact, hamper rather than help. Against this tendency is the fact that they are quick to adopt the radically new once its utility is demonstrated. War more than any other of man's activities puts a high premium on being in the lead.

#### TECHNICAL RESOURCES

As soon as war in Europe on a vast scale was seen to be imminent, the nations there commenced frantically to mobilize and organize their scientific and technical men and resources, and to establish effective liaison between them and the combat services. For more than a year after this movement was in full swing across the Atlantic, our aloofness from the struggle and our ardent desire to keep from being sucked into the tragic maelstrom operated to prevent any effective step in the direction of mobilizing our vast scientific resources for total war. The military services endeavored to strengthen their scientific branches and here and there enlisted the aid of civilian science. They were hampered by inadequate funds, by the pattern of years of a starved organization imposed by an antiwar philosophy, and by the fact that civilian sciences, both fundamental and applied, were built up on a basis of operation in a slowmoving peace economy. The latter had no machinery for marshaling its forces for war and, in the main, it knew little of war's requirements and frequently preferred to follow the courses it understood and liked.

#### MOBILIZATION OF SCIENCE

But about two years ago it became apparent to a few individuals that the laissez-faire approach to the mobilization of science ought to be abandoned in favor of a more direct and forceful organizational approach. At that time there existed certain technical groups and associations which, on the one hand, called for strengthening, and on the other were of suggestive value in the search for a suitable organizational setup. I have already remarked upon the scattered technical groups and laboratories within the Army and Navy which over the years had been doing commendable work, but had been given insufficient funds and encouragement. It was, of course, obvious that as the tension of the emergency increased, the responsibilities placed upon these technical groups would mount with a resultant need to augment their personnel, but it was equally apparent that they could not be expected to carry the full load of scientific development and adaptation.

Civilian participation in one way or another in the solution of military problems has come to be taken for granted. It was first given official recognition in the United States when the National Academy of Sciences was incorporated in 1863 by an act of Congress. The charter of the Academy requires that whenever called upon by any department of the government, it shall investigate, examine, experiment, and report upon any subject of science or art, the actual expenses of such investigations, experiments, and reports to be paid from appropriations which may be made for the purpose, but the Academy shall receive no compensation whatever for any services to the government. The Academy is, therefore, recognized as a continuing official adviser to the federal government, and it must attempt to answer such questions of a scientific or technical nature as are officially submitted to it by members of government departments. A permanent channel of communication was thus created, but power to initiate traffic over it resides with the government and no auxiliary machinery was created whereby the Academy or any other civilian agency might take the initiative in bringing before the government matters of scientific importance.

Less than a year prior to the entry of the United States into the first World War, another step was taken designed to facilitate the use of the channel of communication between government and the National Academy. In 1916 the National Research Council was created by President Wilson, and a little later was to play a part in focusing civilian effort on the military problems then arising. The National Research Council was, and is today, a subsidiary of the National Academy of Sciences and, like the Academy, is largely an advisory body only and awaits the assignment of problems by one or another branch of the government before it can go seriously to work. Moreover, the Council, like the Academy, is not in possession of free money, a corporate laboratory, and other research facilities and is, therefore, not well constituted to conduct research work on any extensive scale.

We turn our attention, therefore, to another agency contemporaneous with the National Research Council, which was created for the express purpose of establishing cooperative effort between military and civilian groups, and which was provided by Congress with funds necessary to create research facilities and to operate them when once created. This agency is the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, commonly known as the N.A.C.A. The law which created the committee provides that it shall "supervise and direct scientific study of the problems of flight, with a view to their practical solution," and also "direct and conduct research and experiment in aeronautics." The committee is composed of fifteen members, including two representatives each of the War and Navy Departments. Throughout its more than twenty-five years of existence, the N.A.C.A. has given ample testimony of the fruitfulness of cooperation between military and civilian groups, and moreover has provided a prototype as to an organizational arrangement for effecting such cooperative effort successfully.

When, some two years ago, the group to whom I have already referred became convinced that broader participation by civilian scientists in the whole military program was likely to be essential, they regarded the N.A.C.A. as typifying the sort of organization they would like to see created. A plan was therefore drawn up envisaging a committee composed in part of civilian scientists and in part of Army and Navy representatives. On the one hand, the committee was charged with a broad study of the materials of warfare and, on the other, it would recommend and, if possible, initiate such research as believed to be in the national interest.

The N.A.C.A. was created in 1915 by an act of Congress. The somewhat duplicative plan just referred to was submitted to President Roosevelt for such action as he saw fit to take, be it to recommend legislation or to pursue some other course. The proposal appealed to him, with the result that he decided to create the committee by executive order. This order established the committee as a division under the Office for Emergency Management and confers upon them power to take the initiative in many scientific matters which they believed to have military significance. It also directed the committee to develop broad and coordinated plans for the conduct of scientific research in the defense program, in collaboration with the War and Navy departments; to review existing scientific-research programs formulated by these departments, as well as other agencies of the government; and advise them with respect to the relationship of their proposed activities to the total research program. Moreover, and this is especially important, the order directs them to initiate and support scientific research on the mechanisms and devices of warfare with the object of improving present ones, and creating new ones.

The order contemplated that the committee would not operate in the field already assigned to N.A.C.A., nor in the advisory field of the National Academy of Sciences and National Research Council. Parenthetically it might be noted that in this latter field the Academy and Council are currently engaged on advisory work for the government for which the out-of-pocket expenses alone are at the rate of much more than \$1,000,000 a year.

## ORGANIZATION OF N.D.R.C.

Thus, in June, 1940, the National Defense Research Committee, more familiarly known as the N.D.R.C., was born. It was constituted of eight members, two of these being high-ranking men from the Army and Navy, respectively, five more being civilians well known for their experience in organizing and directing both fundamental and applied scientific research, and, as an eighth member, the Commissioner of Patents.

The executive order creating the N.D.R.C. omitted any reference to the biological sciences, and in particular to the medical sciences. However, during its first year of operation, experience accumulated to the effect that a broader program of attack would not only be useful but was, in reality, urgently demanded. This realization prompted a second approach to President Roosevelt, with the result that in June, 1941, he created two new functional groups. One of these was the Committee on Medical Research, to explore its indicated territory in the same manner that the N.D.R.C. had been exploring the physical sciences. Then, over and above the N.D.R.C. and the Committee on Medical Research, there was placed the Office of Scientific Research and Development,

usually referred to as O.S.R.D. This latter office was placed in charge of Dr. Vannevar Bush, who until then had been chairman of the N.D.R.C. President Conant of Harvard was then made chairman of the N.D.R.C. and Dr. Richards of the Medical School of the University of Pennsylvania was made chairman of the C.M.R.

In order to insure complete coordination of civilian and military research and development, Dr. Bush, as director of O.S.R.D., was provided with an advisory council consisting of the chairmen of N.D.R.C., C.M.R., and N.A.C.A.; the coordinator of Naval research and the special assistant to the Secretary of War performing a somewhat similar function in that service.

The executive orders creating these various committees naturally had to leave indeterminate the question of financial support. They are all subsidiary to the Office for Emergency Management and, like this office, must look to Congress for the necessary operating appropriation. Thus far the appropriations, while not munificent, have been adequate. During its first year of existence the N.D.R.C. authorized research projects which totaled about ten million dollars. At the beginning of its second year it was granted another ten millions and this was recently augmented by several millions more. To be more specific, the O.S.R.D. during its first year of existence, will guide the expenditure of about twenty millions throughout the whole scientific field.

I should now like to take a few minutes of your time to explain the manner in which the expenditure of these funds is initiated and supervised. To begin with, let me point out that the work of the N.D.R.C. is divided into four major departments: Division A, of which Professor Richard C. Tolman of the California Institute of Technology is chairman, deals with armor, bombs, and ordnance, in general; Professor Roger Adams of the University of Illinois heads Division B on chemistry; Division C deals with transportation and communication, and submarine warfare, and I am its chairman; finally, Division D, which deals with instruments and numerous miscellaneous projects difficult to catalog, is headed by President Compton of M.I.T.

To expedite discussions, surveys, and the general handling of the work, a further breakdown has been found desirable, the result being that each division comprises several so-called sections. Division B on chemistry, under Professor Adams, is divided into thirty-one sections—which stands to date as a sort of record.

## HOW N.D.R.C. FUNCTIONS

The work of a section is entrusted to a section chairman, who in turns calls to his aid certain individuals who become permanent members of his sectional committee and who are known technically as members. Then there are others who may be asked to render advice and assistance from time to time and hence are called consultants. Members and consultants are officially appointed by the chairman of the N.D.R.C. and are designated only after official clearance by the Army and Navy Intelligence and the F.B.I. Full consideration is therefore given to the basic requirements of the military services as regards the confidential handling of their problems.

Neither the five civilian members of the N.D.R.C. itself nor any of the section chairmen, members, or consultants are paid from public funds. Without exception, they are loaned to the government by their employing organizations and frequently the loan is complete, the work being so voluminous and detailed as to require a man's full time. Thus, when I tell you that about 500 of the leading scientists of the country are encompassed in the present N.D.R.C. organization, you will see that the federal government and even the forgotten taxpayer are getting a lot of valuable consulting talent free of charge.

So far as I have now outlined it the functioning of the N.D.R.C. requires no public money except a very small amount for paid office assistants together with the traveling expenses of members and consultants. For the most part members and consultants do not carry on the research and development projects which the N.D.R.C. decides to promote — their duties are advisory and administrative. They formulate the problems which they believe it important to have undertaken, and then arrange with various scientific institutions to carry on the work. It is this last step which brings in the need for considerable sums of money. For instance, a project assigned to a particular university may require the full time of several of its faculty together with that of numerous younger men hired specifically for the work in hand.

The number of such projects now approved and, for the most part, contracted out to universities and industrial research laboratories stands around 550 while the number of contracting institutions is over 100; and when it is stated that the total value of the project thus far determined upon is upward of twenty million dollars, you will realize at once that the monetary resources of the scientific world would not be adequate to conduct the program on a gratuitous basis. The contracts vary all the way from those involving a few hundred dollars to those calling for two to three hundred thousand dollars per month.

#### SCOPE OF PROGRAM

The question is frequently asked as to how many technical people have been drawn into the civilian defense effort which the N.D.R.C. directs, but obviously this is quite difficult to estimate, let alone to enumerate in detail. I have already mentioned that there are about 500 scientists in the N.D.R.C. organization serving as members, consultants, etc. It seems likely that somewhere between two and three thousand scientists are at work on defense projects as employees of contractors with about an equal number of less highly skilled individuals assisting them as laboratory assistants, technicians, etc. Then, if the situation which I know to exist at the Bell Telephone Laboratories is to be taken as a criterion, we must add to this scientific group another very considerable array of technical people who call themselves engineers as opposed to physicists and chemists - an array which if enumerated would no doubt total four to five thousand.

Recent figures from the Bell Telephone Laboratories might be of interest as perhaps typifying the situation found in a number of industrial laboratories which are fulfilling defense contracts, some for the N.D.R.C. and some directly for the

(Continued on page 9)

# SCIENCE AND DEFENSE

(Continued from page 4)

Army and Navy. A rough count shows that about 600 of our technical staff are now engaged directly on a full-time basis on defense propects. When I say that they are "engaged directly" on defense projects, I am excluding those who by circumstances arising out of the defense program have been forced to devote themselves to such problems as the finding of substitute materials and the engineering of emergency telephone projects.

#### "NO PROFIT" FEATURE

Another aspect of the N.D.R.C. plan of operation which I should like to stress is its "no profit" feature. This applies alike to contractors and to employees of contractors. Perhaps this point can be brought out most clearly by reference to a specific situation. The University of California is acting as a contractor to the N.D.R.C. on a large project whch involves an annual expenditure of around one million dollars. Certain members of the California faculty are employed on a full-time basis on the project and in switching from teaching to defense work have incurred no change in rates of pay. The university has also hired from other faculties certain individuals to augment the defense staff and they, likewise, have gone over without change of salary, although a payment is made to compensate for the cost of moving in the case of both single and married men. It is also stipulated explicitly that the university, as contractor, will derive no monetary profit from the work and the same requirement is exacted of industrial laboratories and other types of contractors.

The "no-profit no-loss" proposition has involved the adoption of certain more or less arbitrary but seemingly equitable rules of accounting. Thus a university is usually allowed an overhead payment amounting to 50 per cent of the salaries which it pays to its members employed on a defense project. Similarly, an industrial laboratory, by virtue of the fact that it has to operate with commercial capital and is subject to a variety of forms of taxation from which the university is exampt, as well as other expenses, is allowed an overhead of 100 per cent of the salary item.

I suppose it depends upon one's point of view as to whether the effort I have just outlined appears large or small. On the one hand, it seems fairly certain that it is only a beginning and must expand further. On the other hand, it is certainly large already when contrasted with any civilian effort which was able to assert itself during the last war. And looking back to the situation which existed a quarter of a century ago, it is difficult to understand why the then available civilian agencies were not unleashed to an extent commensurate with their obvious capabilities. True, the National Research Council was operated to assist with the solution of defense problems, but it was, as I have pointed out, in the position of a doctor waiting for clients; it could not adopt the attitude of an aggressive salesman and initiate attacks on what it regarded to be important military problems. Hence we can declare that as regards organization notable progress has been made.

As to future expansion of our civilian defense effort, it is becoming increasingly essential to bear in mind the potential shortage of trained personnel. Without insinuating anything as to guilt, the chemists declare that this is a physicist's war. With about equal justice one might say that it is a mathematician's war. The visible supply of both physicists and mathematicians has dwindled to near the vanishing point, consistent with the maintenance of anything like adequate teaching staffs in our universities. If this civilian-defense effort is to expand, and such indeed now seems imperative, the limiting factor may therefore be a shortage of highly trained individuals and not a shortage of financial aid.

#### CONCENTRATION OF EFFORT

This leads me to state a few general observations concerning the past and future of our work. It is quite apparent that to date the burden of N.D.R.C. contracts bears much more heavily upon some institutions than upon others. At the outset this has necessarily been the case. While serious attention has at all times been given to the subdivision of projects so that they could be farmed out as widely as possible, a limit is frequently reached beyond which it is not practicable to go in the matter of division. In many cases, no division at all could be entertained, a situation that has given rise to a few large contractors, of which I cited the University of California as an example.

In the assignment of the early contracts it has been natural, in fact essential, to lean heavily upon these institutions, both academic and industrial, which for one reason or another have been peculiarly fitted to transfer quickly from peacetime to wartime problems. This has been done with a view to conserving time. But the stages of the program to follow will doubtless involve a broader survey of the situation to find locations where new problems can be lodged with a minimum of interference to essential defense work and teaching now in progress. In this survey a guiding principle will be to utilize men and facilities in situ whenever possible, thus preserving the "going value" of groups who are accustomed to working together. In the face of crises, the human tendency is usually to do the reverse, it being so easy for central agencies to ignore established but not well-known organizations, and attempt to cope with an emergency by calling workers from right and left to some new location. As a matter of fact, this tendency was beginning to make an appearance even as long as two years ago when the fundamental plan of the N.D.R.C. was under discussion. Had the tide then setting in been allowed to run on for some months unimpeded, the result would inevitably have been a literal army of uprooted scientists in Washington and other central points, sitting around idly waiting for vast amounts of research equipment which had been placed on order, but was not much nearer materialization than that, to be installed in hastily constructed laboratories. This would have been the easy and disastrous way. Fortunately, the creation of the N.D.R.C. came in time to stem such a tide.

Another present problem, and it is the last with which I shall trouble you, is one which by its existence supplies evidence that real progress has already been made in some of the research programs thus far initiated. It has to do with shortening the time gap between proved laboratory-research results and

(Continued on next page)

the stage where mass production can be undertaken. Some of the laboratory results already achieved hold such promise that every day which intervenes before their widespread utilization becomes a serious matter. Obviously the problems to be met here cover a wide range of equipment and materials — as wide as that marked out by the scientific results themselves — and since they involve large-scale manufacture, the whole plan must be carefully worked out with other official agencies. I am sure, however, that we are prepared to meet and solve these problems, and rather than be concerned with the difficulty of making progress along this avenue, I think all who are guiding the work of the N.D.R.C. would exclaim to the ranks of scientists and technicians, "Bring on your results, the more the better, and we will guarantee them a speedy passage to the firing line."

Editor's Note: This paper by Dr. Jewett was presented at the Session on National Defense at the 1941 Annual Meeting of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers in New York. December 1-5. It was also printed in the January, 1942, issue of Mechanical Engineering, official publication of the A.S.M.E.

## Don't Miss The

## ALUMNI DANCE

Saturday February 7

\$1.50

Elks Club Los Angeles



Lane-Wells Technical Oil Field Services are helping America's petroleum producers meet an ever-expanding need for oil by cutting pro-

duction costs and increasing ultimate production of each well. For full details about these services and how they work: Write Lane-Wells, Los Angeles.

GUN PERFORATOR • ELECTROLOG • OIL WELL SURVEYS RADIOACTIVITY LOGS THROUGH CASING



# STANTON RESIGNS

(Continued from page 6)

when Stanton essayed to display his talents on the legitimate stage.

Arriving in California in 1908, Stanton's first connection was with the Pomona College Sagehens. In the eight years from 1908 to 1915, he coached Pomona to four conference championships, developing several famous players during the period. In 1916 he became coach at Oxy, conference champions for that year.

Interrupted by the war, he spent the first year, before going overseas, as coach with the Camp Lewis team. The war over, he coached Oxy for two more years, up until 1921, when he first began his long association with Tech football.

#### SORENSEN PAYS TRIBUTE

Prof. Sorensen, closely associated with Coach Stanton for a number of years, says, "Our relations with him have always been good. He has always been interested in what the Institute is doing and has never made football take so much time of the men to interfere with satisfactory college work."

"I know of no other man around that could have done as well under the same conditions."

Continuing, "Mr. Stanton in the past has said several times he was ready to retire but at our request he has continued on. His family has also been after him to quit. Although he is director of athletics, the coach will probably resign that position as well."

At present, it has been established that Coach Stanton will not officially sever his connections with the Institute until the end of the 1941-42 school year. This means that the promising-looking 1942 track squad will still perform under his tutelage.

#### MILLIKAN'S STATEMENT

Dr. Robert A. Millikan also paid high tribute to Coach Stanton's position in the Institute's job of training men for life in these words: "Fox Stanton, as he has been familiarly called for the last thirty-three years in which he has been coaching football in Southern California, has one of the most enviable records ever won by any football coach—enviable because, unlike some successful coaches, he has never tolerated in himself, or in any member of his team, dirty football.

"He has realized, to an extent that not all coaches have done, that the greatest value of competitive athletics is in learning the lessons of real sportsmanship and spreading the spirit of sportsmanship throughout our American life. No finer tribute to him could be paid than the tribute of maintaining on the campus of the California Institute the spirit of sportsmanship which he has spent his life in fostering here."

